## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

GREGORY MAYES, an individual,	Case No.: 4:14-CV-01759
	Judge Person
Plaintiff,	Magistrate Judge Burke
vs.	
)	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, a corporation;)	<b>DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS</b>
and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
Defendants	

Pending before the Court is the motion of defendant Eli Lilly and Company, made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), 9(b) and 12(b)(6), to dismiss plaintiff's complaint. Since the filing of defendant's motion, plaintiff has filed an amended complaint as of right pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B). The filing of the amended complaint supercedes the original and renders it a nullity. *See, e.g., Purkey v. Marberry*, 2010 U.S. App. LEXIS 14362 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010); *Klyce v. Ramirez*, 852 F.2d 568 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988); *Barnes v. Birds Eye Foods LLC*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 69579, \*2-3 (W.D. MI. 2010) (amended complaint, filed of right within 21 days of service of motion under 12(b), "supercedes the original complaint, which becomes a nullity"). As a necessary consequence, defendant's motion to dismiss the now superseded complaint is moot and must be denied. *See Barnes*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 69579 at 3\* ("An amended complaint filed after a motion to dismiss has been filed renders the motion to dismiss moot"), *citing Bancoult v. McNamara*, 214 F.R.D. 5, 13 (D.D.D. 2003).

## **CONCLUSION**

For the forgoing reasons, plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court deny defendant's motion to dismiss as moot.

1	LEESEBERG & VALENTINE
2 3	By: /s/ Craig S. Tuttle  Craig S. Tuttle, Esquire
4	175 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215
5	ctuttle@leesebergvalentine.com (p) (614) 221-2223
6	(f) (614) 221-3106
7	DATED: February 18, 2015
8	Of Counsel:
9	Harris L. Pogust, Esq. T. Matthew Leckman, Esq. POGUST BRASLOW & MILLROOD, LLC Eight Tower Bridge, Suite 1520
10	
11	
12	Conshohocken, PA 19428
13 14	hpogust@pbmattorneys.com mleckman@pbmattorneys.com
15	Michael L. Baum, Esq. Brent Wisner, Esq. BAUM, HEDLUND, ARISTEI & GOLDMAN, P.C. 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90025
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that on February 18, 2015, a copy of the foregoing Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be send by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt. All other parties will be served by US mail. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

/s/ Craig S. Tuttle
Craig S. Tuttle, Esquire
175 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215
ctuttle@leesebergvalentine.com
(p) (614) 221-2223

(f) (614) 221-3106